

1 Effect of UV-A and UV-B irradiation on broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. Italica Group)  
2 floret yellowing during storage

3

4 Sukanya Aiamla-or<sup>a</sup>, Naoki Yamauchi<sup>a, b, \*</sup>, Susumu Takino<sup>a, \*\*</sup>, Masayoshi Shigyo<sup>a, b</sup>

5

6 <sup>a</sup> The United Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Tottori University, Koyama-  
7 Minami, Tottori 680-8553, Japan

8 <sup>b</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, Yamaguchi University, Yoshida, Yamaguchi 753-8515, Japan

9

10 \* Corresponding author Tel.: +81-83-933-5843; Fax: +81 83933 5820; E-mail

11 address:yamauchi@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

12 \*\*Present address: Aohata Corporation, Tadanouminaka-machi, Takehara City,  
13 Hiroshima 729-2392, Japan

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

## 1 **Abstract**

2

3 UV-A or UV-B irradiation was applied to broccoli florets to investigate their effect on  
4 floret yellowing. Broccoli florets were irradiated with two UV-A doses (4.5 and 9.0 kJ m<sup>-2</sup>)  
5 and five UV-B doses (4.4, 8.8, 13.1, 17.5, and 26.3 kJ m<sup>-2</sup>) and then kept in darkness at  
6 15 °C. In general, broccoli florets retained more color after UV-B irradiation as compared  
7 to UV-A irradiation. UV-B doses of at least 8.8 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> to broccoli florets resulted in  
8 surface color with a higher hue angle, as compared to those treated with 4.4 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> UV-B  
9 or without UV-B. We therefore selected a UV-B dose of 8.8 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> for application to  
10 different broccoli cultivars ('Pixel' and 'Sawayutaka'), harvested during the winter and  
11 early summer seasons. During storage, the 'Sawayutaka' cultivar exhibited a slower  
12 decrease in green color of florets, when compared to the 'Pixel' cultivar. UV-B treatment  
13 delayed floret yellowing and chlorophyll degradation. Broccoli harvested in winter or  
14 early summer and irradiated with UV-B during storage at 15 °C displayed higher  
15 chlorophyll content and hue angle value than broccoli without UV-B treatment. These  
16 results suggest that UV-B irradiation is effective in retaining the green color of florets  
17 during storage.

18

19 **Keywords:** UV-A, UV-B, Chlorophyll degradation, Broccoli florets

20

## 21 **1. Introduction**

22

1 Floret yellowing is a major limitation to shelf life and broccoli quality. Therefore,  
2 suitable treatments are necessary to maintain quality levels until consumption. Some  
3 techniques to delay senescence have been investigated, including heat treatments, which  
4 effectively reduce yellowing among stored broccoli florets (Funamoto et al., 2002; Costa,  
5 et al., 2006; Kaewsuksaeng et al., 2007); chemical treatments such as 1-  
6 methylcyclopropene (Ku and Will, 1999; Able et al., 2002) and ethanol vapor (Suzuki et  
7 al., 2004); low temperature (Starzyńska et al., 2003); and controlled atmosphere storage  
8 (Yamauchi and Watada, 1998). Recently, UV-C irradiation was applied to broccoli  
9 florets and effectively delayed floret yellowing during storage (Costa et al., 2006;  
10 Lemoine et al., 2008). However, the effects of UV-A and UV-B on yellowing in stored  
11 broccoli have not been clarified. Previous studies reported that UV-A and UV-B radiation  
12 enhanced the level of antioxidant compounds and antioxidant enzyme activity in plants  
13 (Costa et al., 2002; Gao and Zhang, 2008; Xu et al., 2008). However, no study has looked  
14 at the effect of postharvest application of UV-A and/or UV-B on the yellowing of  
15 broccoli florets. Furthermore, UV-A and UV-B are less harmful wavelengths, in  
16 comparison with UV-C. Therefore, these treatments may represent a new practical  
17 approach for maintaining the postharvest quality of fruits and vegetables. Notably, the  
18 postharvest life of fruits or vegetables on market shelves can be affected by genotypic  
19 variation and environmental conditions during crop development (Toivonen and Sweeney,  
20 1998; Tan et al., 1999). Here we examine the impact of UV-A or UV-B irradiation on  
21 broccoli floret yellowing. We also discuss the influences of cultivar and harvest season  
22 on the UV-B-mediated inhibition of yellowing.

23

## 1 **2. Materials and Methods**

2

3 Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. Italica Group) cultivars, ‘Sawayutaka’ and ‘Pixel’,  
4 were harvested during winter in Fukouka Prefecture and transported to the laboratory of  
5 Horticultural Science at Yamaguchi University. The Pixel cultivar was also harvested  
6 during early summer. Broccoli heads were immediately irradiated with UV-A (spectral  
7 peak value: 342 nm, F15BLB) or UV-B (spectral peak value: 312 nm, T-15M, VL). Each  
8 broccoli head was placed vertically under the UV-A or UV-B lamps at a distance of 15  
9 cm, resulting in UV-A energy of 4.5 and 9.0 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> and UV-B energy of 4.4, 8.8, 13.1,  
10 17.5 and 26.3 kJ m<sup>-2</sup>. Broccoli florets were kept in polyethylene film bags (0.03 mm in  
11 thickness), with the top folded over. The bags were then placed on a plastic tray and  
12 stored at 15 °C in the dark. Triplicates of three heads were removed at scheduled intervals  
13 during the 6-day storage period, and the floral tissue was analyzed. Chlorophyll (Chl)  
14 content was determined using *N,N*-dimethylformamide (Moran, 1982). Surface color of  
15 the heads, as represented by hue angle, was measured with a color difference meter  
16 (Nippon-denshoku NF 777).

17 The experiments were conducted in a completely randomized design. The analysis of  
18 variance (ANOVA) of data was performed using SAS (Microsoft Corporation). The  
19 deference between means of data were compared by lest significant difference at  $P<0.05$ .

20

## 21 **3. Results and Discussion**

22

### 23 *3.1. Optimization of UV irradiation*

1 As shown in Tables 1 and 2, UV-A treatment did not delay floret yellowing or reduce  
2 the hue angle value, although the doses of UV-A (4.5 and 9.0 kJ m<sup>-2</sup>) and UV-B (4.4 and  
3 8.8 kJ m<sup>-2</sup>) were similar. Broccoli exposed to 8.8 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> UV-B displayed more green  
4 florets than broccoli exposed to 4.4 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> UV-B or without UV-B treatment (the control).  
5 UV-B doses of at least 8.8 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> significantly delayed the reduction of hue angle values  
6 for broccoli stored at 15 °C. Therefore, 8.8 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> was selected as the optimal UV-B dose  
7 and applied in the next experiment. We suggest that UV-B treatment is more effective than  
8 UV-A irradiation in delaying floret yellowing and that this discrepancy is due to the  
9 difference in wavelength. When we exposed florets to 4.4 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> of UV-B, the florets  
10 turned yellow more quickly than when exposed to the other doses of UV-B. Therefore, the  
11 acceleration of broccoli senescence may be affected by UV-B dose. UV-B irradiation is  
12 known to induce the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as hydrogen  
13 peroxide, superoxide, hydroxyl radical and single oxygen. ROS can cause oxidative  
14 damage to membrane lipids, protein and DNA (Foyer et al., 1994). Fortunately, plants  
15 protect themselves against UV-B irradiation by accumulating flavonoid compounds, as  
16 well as increasing antioxidant production and antioxidative enzyme activity levels  
17 (Robberecht and Caldwell, 1983; Jordan, 1996). Therefore, broccoli senescence can be  
18 delayed when increases in the levels of reactive oxygen species trigger these defensive  
19 mechanisms in florets exposed to optimal doses of UV-B irradiation.

20

### 21 *3.2. Influences of cultivar and harvest season on UV-B-mediated inhibition of yellowing*

22 Two broccoli cultivars, 'Pixel' and 'Sawayutaka' were harvested during the winter.  
23 During storage, 'Pixel' florets displayed yellowing more rapidly than 'Sawayutaka' cultivar

1 florets. UV-B treatment delayed floret yellowing in both 'Pixel' and 'Sawayutaka' cultivars.  
2 As shown in Table 3, control florets displayed lower surface color of hue angle values, in  
3 comparison to florets exposed to UV-B treatment. Chl contents in 'Sawayutaka' florets  
4 were slightly higher than those in the 'Pixel' cultivar, although Chl contents in fresh  
5 broccoli were not significant difference between 'Pixel' and 'Sawayutaka' cultivars. The  
6 decrease in Chl contents was much greater in 'Pixel' than 'Sawayutaka' during storage.  
7 Moreover, Chl contents were significant higher in 'Sawayutaka' with UV-B treatment as  
8 compared to 'Pixel' with UV-B treatment on day 6. These results indicated that  
9 'Sawayutaka' could be responded more dramatically to UV-B treatment than 'Pixel'.

10 We also determined the effect of harvest season on the inhibitory effect of UV-B  
11 treatment. As is apparent in Table 4, broccoli harvested in the early summer exhibited rapid  
12 floret yellowing, as well as a gradual reduction in Chl content, indicating that surrounding  
13 circumstances during growth and development in broccoli might affect the progress of  
14 floret senescence after harvest. Broccoli exposed to UV-B exhibited slight decreases in  
15 both hue angle value and Chl content. Notably, UV-B treatment effectively inhibited  
16 yellowing in broccoli florets harvested during either the winter or the early summer. Thus,  
17 UV-B effectively delayed floret yellowing in various broccoli cultivars, harvested during  
18 different seasons. Previously, UV-C and heat treatments have been applied to broccoli  
19 florets; these treatments maintained Chl content and delayed floret yellowing. Moreover,  
20 all of these treatments effectively inhibited Chl degradation enzyme activities, which are  
21 involved in Chl breakdown (Funamoto et al., 2002; Costa et al., 2006). The delay of floret  
22 yellowing by UV-B treatment may also suppress Chl-degrading enzyme activities.

1 In conclusion, the findings obtained in the present study show that UV-B treatment  
2 delayed floret yellowing in broccoli. UV-A treatment did not similarly inhibit floret  
3 yellowing. From cultivar to cultivar, the broccoli differed slightly in Chl content at harvest;  
4 the 'Sawayutaka' cultivar exhibited higher Chl content than did the 'Pixel' cultivar. Chl  
5 contents were also slightly higher in broccoli harvested during the winter season as  
6 compared with the early summer season. However, UV-B doses of at least  $8.8 \text{ kJ m}^{-2}$   
7 effectively delayed the decrease in Chl content, suggesting that UV-B treatment will be  
8 useful to maintain the postharvest quality of broccoli.

9

## 10 **Acknowledgements**

11

12 The authors kindly thank FUKUREN Co.Ltd. for supplying with broccoli florets.  
13 This work was supported by grants from Japanese Government  
14 (MONBUKAGAKUSHO: MEXT) scholarship.

15

## 16 **References**

17

18 Able, A. J., Wong, L. S., Prasad, A., O'Hare, T. J., 2002. 1-MCP is more effective on  
19 floral brassica (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* L.) than a leafy brassica (*Brassica rapa*  
20 var. *chinensis*). *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 26, 147–155.  
21 Costa, H., Gallego, S. M., Tomaro, M., 2002. Effect of UV-B radiation on antioxidant  
22 defense system in sunflower cotyledon. *Plant Sci.* 162, 939–945.

- 1 Costa, M. L., Civello, P. M., Chaves, A. R., Martínez, G. A., 2006. Hot air treatment  
2 decreases chlorophyll catabolism during postharvest senescence of broccoli (*Brassica*  
3 *oleracea* L. var. *italica*) heads. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 86, 1125–1131.
- 4 Foyer C. H., Lelandais, M. Kunert, K. J., 1994. Photooxidative stress in plants. *Physiol.*  
5 *Plant.* 92, 696-717.
- 6 Funamoto, Y., Yamauchi, N., Shigenaga, T., Shigyo, M., 2002. Effect of heat treatment  
7 on chlorophyll degradation enzymes in stored broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L.).  
8 *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 24, 163-170.
- 9 Gao, Q., Zhang, L., 2008. Ultraviolet-B-induced oxidative stress and antioxidant defense  
10 system responses in ascorbate-deficient *vtc1* mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J. Plant*  
11 *Physiol.* 165, 138–148.
- 12 Jordan, B. R., 1996. The effect of ultraviolet-B radiation on plants: a molecular perspective.  
13 *Avd. Botan. Res.* 22, 97-162.
- 14 Kaewsuksaeng, S., Yamauchi, N., Funamoto, Y., Mori, T., Shigyo, M., Kalayanarat, S.,  
15 2007. Effect of heat treatment on catabolites formation in relation to chlorophyll  
16 degradation during storage of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. *italica* group) florets. *J.*  
17 *Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 76, 338–344.
- 18 Ku, V. V. V., Wills, R. B. H., 1999. Effect of 1-methylcyclopropene on the storage life of  
19 broccoli. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 17, 127–132.
- 20 Lemoine, M. L., Civello, P. M., Chaves, A. R., Martínez, G. A., 2008. Effect of  
21 combined treatment with hot air and UV-C on senescence and quality parameters of  
22 minimally processed broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*). *Postharvest Biol.*  
23 *Technol.* 48, 15–21.



- 1 Moran, R., 1982. Formulae for determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with  
2 *N, N*- dimethylformamide. *Plant Physiol.* 69, 1376-1381.
- 3 Robberecht, R., Caldwell, M. M., 1983. Protective mechanisms and acclimation to solar  
4 ultraviolet-B radiation in *Oenothera stricta*. *Plant Cell Environ.* 6, 477-485.
- 5 Starzyńska, A., Leja, M., Mareczek, A., 2003. Physiological changes in the antioxidant  
6 system of broccoli flower buds senescing during short-term storage, related to  
7 temperature and packaging. *Plant Sci.* 165, 1387–1395.
- 8 Suzuki, Y., Uji, T., Terai, H., 2004. Inhibition of senescence in broccoli florets with  
9 ethanol vapor from alcohol powder. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 31, 177–182.
- 10 Tan, D. K. Y., Wearing, A. H., Rickert, K. G., Brich, C. J., 1999. Broccoli yield and  
11 quality can be determined by cultivar and temperature but photoperiod in south-east  
12 Queensland. *Aust. J. Agric.* 39, 901-909.
- 13 Toivonen, P. M. A., Sweeney, M., 1998. Differences in chlorophyll loss at 13 °C for two  
14 broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L.) cultivars associated with antioxidant enzyme. *J. Agri.*  
15 *Food Chem.* 46, 20-24.
- 16 Xu, C., Natarajan, S., Sullivan, J. H., 2008. Impact of solar ultraviolet-B radiation on the  
17 antioxidant defense system in soybean lines differing in flavonoid contents. *Environ.*  
18 *Exp. Bot.* 63, 39–48.
- 19 Yamauchi, N., Watada, A. E., 1998. Chlorophyll and xanthophyll changes in broccoli  
20 florets stored under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> or ethylene-containing atmosphere. *HortScience* 33,  
21 114–117.
- 22

1 **Table 1**

2 Changes in hue angle value of broccoli florets with UV-A irradiation during storage at 15  
3 °C.

UV-A treatment (kJ m <sup>-2</sup> )	Hue angle of surface color			
	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6
0	131.6 ± 0.60	132.8 ± 1.37	131.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.31	97.9 ± 1.58
4.5	131.7 ± 2.00	132.4 ± 0.25	128.8 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.40	93.8 ± 1.10
9.0	134.0 ± 2.30	132.3 ± 0.23	126.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.74	94.9 ± 0.74
F-test	ns	ns	*	ns

4 The results were expressed as means ± standard error for three broccoli florets in each  
5 treatment. Different letters within same column indicate significant difference between  
6 treatments. The asterisk (\*) indicates that the value is significantly different from  
7 corresponding control ( $p < 0.05$ ). (ns) indicates that the value is not significantly different  
8 from corresponding control.

9

1 **Table 2**

2 Changes in hue angle value of broccoli florets with UV-B irradiation during storage at 15  
3 °C.

UV-B treatment (kJ m <sup>-2</sup> )	Hue angle of surface color			
	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6
0	132.3 ± 0.91	132.2 ± 1.24	115.1 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.88	94.7 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.55
4.4	131.3 ± .096	133.4 ± 0.72	115.9 <sup>c</sup> ± 1.19	92.8 <sup>b</sup> ± 4.48
8.8	132.9 ± 0.78	131.2 ± 0.24	121.7 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.60	107.7 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.66
13.1	131.5 ± 0.42	132.1 ± 0.57	122.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.95	107.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.88
17.5	130.9 ± 0.48	132.1 ± 0.53	122.6 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.56	104.3 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.11
26.3	129.9 ± 0.34	130.1 ± 0.80	126.2 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.59	108.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.93
F-test	ns	ns	*	*

4 The results were expressed as means ± standard error for three broccoli florets in each  
5 treatment. Different letters within same column indicate significant difference between  
6 treatments. The asterisk (\*) indicates that the value is significantly different from  
7 corresponding control ( $p < 0.05$ ). (ns) indicates that the value is not significantly different  
8 from corresponding control.

9

10

1 **Table 3**

2 Changes in the hue angle value and total chlorophyll contents of two cultivars of broccoli  
 3 florets ('Pixel' and 'Sawayutaka') with or without UV-B ( $8.8 \text{ kJ m}^{-2}$ ) treatment during  
 4 storage at  $15 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

Cultivars	UV-treatment ( $\text{kJ m}^{-2}$ )	Hue angle value of surface color				Total chlorophyll content ( $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ FW)			
		Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6
Pixel	0	132.2	132.2	121.2 <sup>c</sup>	94.5 <sup>c</sup>	1.3	1.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.5 <sup>c</sup>	0.3 <sup>c</sup>
	8.8	132.3	131.2	129.7 <sup>b</sup>	112.4 <sup>b</sup>	1.3	1.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.6 <sup>b</sup>
Sawayutaka	0.0	133.9	132.9	129.3 <sup>b</sup>	97.2 <sup>c</sup>	1.3	1.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.4 <sup>bc</sup>
	8.8	134.8	133.2	132.5 <sup>a</sup>	129.7 <sup>a</sup>	1.3	1.3 <sup>a</sup>	1.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.8 <sup>a</sup>
F-test		ns	ns	**	**	ns	*	**	*

5 Different letters within column indicate significant difference between treatments and  
 6 cultivars. The asterisk (\*) indicates that the value is significantly different from  
 7 corresponding control ( $p < 0.05$ ). The asterisk (\*\*) indicates that the value is significantly  
 8 different from corresponding control ( $p < 0.01$ ). (ns) indicates that the value is not  
 9 significantly different from corresponding control.

10

11

1 **Table 4**

2 Changes in the hue angle value and total chlorophyll contents of broccoli florets with or  
 3 without UV-B ( $8.8 \text{ kJ m}^{-2}$ ) treatment during storage at  $15 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The cultivar presented is  
 4 'Pixel', harvested in winter and early summer.

5

Harvest seasons	UV-treatment ( $\text{kJ m}^{-2}$ )	Hue angle value of surface color				Total chlorophyll content ( $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ FW)			
		Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6
Winter	0	132.2	132.2 <sup>a</sup>	121.2 <sup>a</sup>	94.5 <sup>b</sup>	1.2	1.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.7 <sup>b</sup>	0.3 <sup>b</sup>
	8.8	132.3	131.2 <sup>a</sup>	129.7 <sup>a</sup>	112.4 <sup>a</sup>	1.2	1.2 <sup>a</sup>	1.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.5 <sup>a</sup>
Early summer	0.0	135.9	125.3 <sup>b</sup>	104.2 <sup>b</sup>	94.5 <sup>b</sup>	1.2	0.6 <sup>c</sup>	0.4 <sup>c</sup>	0.2 <sup>c</sup>
	8.8	136.8	133.4 <sup>a</sup>	122.5 <sup>a</sup>	106.3 <sup>a</sup>	1.2	1.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.7 <sup>b</sup>	0.4 <sup>a</sup>
F-test		ns	*	*	*	ns	*	*	*

6 Different letters within same column indicate significant difference between treatments  
 7 and harvest seasons. The asterisk (\*) indicates that the value is significantly different  
 8 from corresponding control ( $p < 0.05$ ). (ns) indicates that the value is not significantly  
 9 different from corresponding control.

10

11