Uncertainty Relation on Generalized Wigner-Yanase-Dyson Skew Information

Kenjiro Yanagi*

Abstract. We give a trace inequality related to the uncertainty relation of generalized Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information which is two parameter's extension of our result in [12].

Key Words: Uncertainty relation, Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 47A50, 94A17, 81P15

1 Introduction

Wigner-Yanase skew information

$$I_{\rho}(H) = \frac{1}{2} Tr \left[\left(i \left[\rho^{1/2}, H \right] \right)^2 \right]$$

= $Tr[\rho H^2] - Tr[\rho^{1/2} H \rho^{1/2} H]$

was defined in [9]. This quantity can be considered as a kind of the degree for noncommutativity between a quantum state ρ and an observable H. Here we denote the commutator by [X, Y] = XY - YX. This quantity was generalized by Dyson

$$I_{\rho,\alpha}(H) = \frac{1}{2} Tr[(i[\rho^{\alpha}, H])(i[\rho^{1-\alpha}, H])]$$

= $Tr[\rho H^{2}] - Tr[\rho^{\alpha} H \rho^{1-\alpha} H], \alpha \in [0, 1]$

which is known as the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information. It is famous that the convexity of $I_{\rho,\alpha}(H)$ with respect to ρ was successfully proven by E.H.Lieb in

^{*}Division of Applied Mathematical Science, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Yamaguchi University, Ube, 755-8611 Japan. E-mail: yanagi@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp, This research was partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), 20540175

[6]. And also this quantity was generalized by Cai and Luo

$$\begin{split} &I_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(H) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} Tr[(i[\rho^{\alpha},H])(i[\rho^{\beta},H])\rho^{1-\alpha-\beta}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{ Tr[\rho H^2] + Tr[\rho^{\alpha+\beta}H\rho^{1-\alpha-\beta}H] - Tr[\rho^{\alpha}H\rho^{1-\alpha}H] - Tr[\rho^{\beta}H\rho^{1-\beta}H] \} \end{split}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha+\beta \leq 1$. The convexity of $I_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(H)$ with respect to ρ was proven by Cai and Luo in [2] under some restrictive condition. From the physical point of view, an observable H is generally considered to be an unbounded opetrator, however in the present paper, unless otherwise stated, we consider $H \in B(\mathcal{H})$ represents the set of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , as a mathematical interest. We also denote the set of all self-adjoint operators (observables) by $\mathcal{L}_h(\mathcal{H})$ and the set of all density operators (quantum states) by $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The relation between the Wigner-Yanase skew information and the uncertainty relation was studied in [8]. Moreover the relation between the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information and the uncertainty relation was studied in [5, 10]. In our paper [10] and [12], we defined a generalized skew information and then derived a kind of an uncertainty relations. In the section 2, we discuss various properties of Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information. In section 3, we give an uncertainty relation of generalized Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information.

2 Trace inequality of Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information

We review the relation between the Wigner-Yanase skew information and the uncertainty relation. In quantum mechanical system, the expectation value of an observable H in a quantum state ρ is expressed by $Tr[\rho H]$. It is natural that the variance for a quantum state ρ and an observable H is defined by $V_{\rho}(H) =$ $Tr[\rho(H - Tr[\rho H]I)^2] = Tr[\rho H^2] - Tr[\rho H]^2$. It is famous that we have

$$V_{\rho}(A)V_{\rho}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4}|Tr[\rho[A,B]]|^2$$
 (2.1)

for a quantum state ρ and two observables A and B. The further strong results was given by Schrödinger

$$V_{\rho}(A)V_{\rho}(B) - |Cov_{\rho}(A,B)|^{2} \ge \frac{1}{4}|Tr[\rho[A,B]]|^{2}$$

where the covariance is defined by $Cov_{\rho}(A, B) = Tr[\rho(A - Tr[\rho A]I)(B - Tr[\rho B]I)]$. However, the uncertainty relation for the Wigner-Yanase skew information failed. (See [8, 5, 10])

$$I_{\rho}(A)I_{\rho}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4}|Tr[\rho[A, B]]|^{2}.$$

Recently, S.Luo introduced the quantity $U_{\rho}(H)$ representing a quantum uncertainty excluding the classical mixture:

$$U_{\rho}(H) = \sqrt{V_{\rho}(H)^2 - (V_{\rho}(H) - I_{\rho}(H))^2},$$
(2.2)

then he derived the uncertainty relation on $U_{\rho}(H)$ in [7]:

$$U_{\rho}(A)U_{\rho}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4}|Tr[\rho[A, B]]|^2.$$
 (2.3)

Note that we have the following relation

$$0 \le I_{\rho}(H) \le U_{\rho}(H) \le V_{\rho}(H). \tag{2.4}$$

The inequality (2.3) is a refinement of the inequality (2.1) in the sense of (2.4). In [12], we studied one-parameter extended inequality for the inequality (2.3).

Definition 2.1 For $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, a quantum state ρ and an observable H, we define the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information

$$I_{\rho,\alpha}(H) = \frac{1}{2} Tr[(i[\rho^{\alpha}, H_0])(i[\rho^{1-\alpha}, H_0])]$$

= $Tr[\rho H_0^2] - Tr[\rho^{\alpha} H_0 \rho^{1-\alpha} H_0]$ (2.5)

and we also define

$$J_{\rho,\alpha}(H) = \frac{1}{2} Tr[\{\rho^{\alpha}, H_0\}\{\rho^{1-\alpha}, H_0\}]$$

= $Tr[\rho H_0^2] + Tr[\rho^{\alpha} H_0 \rho^{1-\alpha} H_0],$ (2.6)

where $H_0 = H - Tr[\rho H]I$ and we denote the anti-commutator by $\{X, Y\} = XY + YX$.

Note that we have

$$\frac{1}{2}Tr[(i[\rho^{\alpha}, H_0])(i[\rho^{1-\alpha}, H_0])] = \frac{1}{2}Tr[(i[\rho^{\alpha}, H])(i[\rho^{1-\alpha}, H])]$$

but we have

$$\frac{1}{2}Tr[\{\rho^{\alpha}, H_0\}\{\rho^{1-\alpha}, H_0\}] \neq \frac{1}{2}Tr[\{\rho^{\alpha}, H\}\{\rho^{1-\alpha}, H\}].$$

Then we have the following inequalities:

$$I_{\rho,\alpha}(H) \le I_{\rho}(H) \le J_{\rho}(H) \le J_{\rho,\alpha}(H), \qquad (2.7)$$

since we have $Tr[\rho^{1/2}H\rho^{1/2}H] \leq Tr[\rho^{\alpha}H\rho^{1-\alpha}H]$. (See [1, 3] for example.) If we define

$$U_{\rho,\alpha}(H) = \sqrt{V_{\rho}(H)^2 - (V_{\rho}(H) - I_{\rho,\alpha}(H))^2},$$
(2.8)

as a direct generalization of Eq.(2.2), then we have

$$0 \le I_{\rho,\alpha}(H) \le U_{\rho,\alpha}(H) \le U_{\rho}(H) \tag{2.9}$$

due to the first inequality of (2.7). We also have

$$U_{\rho,\alpha}(H) = \sqrt{I_{\rho,\alpha}(H)J_{\rho,\alpha}(H)}.$$

From the inequalities (2.4), (2.8), (2.9), our situation is that we have

$$0 \le I_{\rho,\alpha}(H) \le I_{\rho}(H) \le U_{\rho}(H)$$

and

$$0 \le I_{\rho,\alpha}(H) \le U_{\rho,\alpha}(H) \le U_{\rho}(H).$$

We gave the following uncertainty relation with respect to $U_{\rho,\alpha}(H)$ as a direct generalization of the inequality (2.3).

Theorem 2.1 ([12]) For $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, a quantum state ρ and observablea A, B,

$$U_{\rho,\alpha}(A)U_{\rho,\alpha}(B) \ge \alpha(1-\alpha)|Tr[\rho[A,B]]|^2.$$
 (2.10)

Now we define the two parameter extensions of Wigner-Yanase skew information and give an uncertainty relation under some conditions in the next section.

Definition 2.2 For $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, a quantum state ρ and an observable H, we define the generalized Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information

$$I_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(H) = \frac{1}{2} Tr \left[(i[\rho^{\alpha}, H_0])(i[\rho^{\beta}, H_0])\rho^{1-\alpha-\beta} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{2} \{ Tr[\rho H_0^2] + Tr[\rho^{\alpha+\beta}H_0\rho^{1-\alpha-\beta}H_0] - Tr[\rho^{\alpha}H_0\rho^{1-\alpha}H_0] - Tr[\rho^{\beta}H_0\rho^{1-\beta}H_0] \}$$

and we define

$$J_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(H) = \frac{1}{2} Tr \left[\{\rho^{\alpha}, H_0\} \{\rho^{\beta}, H_0\} \rho^{1-\alpha-\beta} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{2} \{ Tr[\rho H_0^2] + Tr[\rho^{\alpha+\beta} H_0 \rho^{1-\alpha-\beta} H_0] + Tr[\rho^{\alpha} H_0 \rho^{1-\alpha} H_0] + Tr[\rho^{\beta} H_0 \rho^{1-\beta} H_0] \},$$

where $H_0 = H - Tr[\rho H]I$ and we denote the anti-commutator by $\{X, Y\} = XY + YX$. We remark that $\alpha + \beta = 1$ implies $I_{\rho,\alpha}(H) = I_{\rho,\alpha,1-\alpha}(H)$ and $J_{\rho,\alpha}(H) = J_{\rho,\alpha,1-\alpha}(H)$. We also define

$$U_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(H) = \sqrt{I_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(H)J_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(H)}.$$

3 Main Theorem

We give the main theorem as follows;

Theorem 3.1 Let ρ be a density operator, A and B observables and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. If $\alpha + \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ or $\alpha + \beta = 1$, then the uncertainty relation

$$U_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(A)U_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(B) \ge \alpha\beta |Tr[\rho[A,B]]|^2$$
(3.1)

holds.

We use the several lemmas to prove the theorem 3.1. By spectral decomposition, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{\phi_i, \}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ consisting of eigenvectors of ρ . Let $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be the corresponding eigenvalues, where $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i = 1$ and $\lambda_i > 0$. Thus, ρ has a spectral representation

$$\rho = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} |\phi_{i}\rangle \langle \phi_{i}|.$$
(3.2)

We use the notation $f_{\alpha}(x,y) = x^{\alpha}y^{1-\alpha} + x^{1-\alpha}y^{\alpha}$. Then we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1

$$I_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(H) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} \{\lambda_i + \lambda_j + f_{\alpha+\beta}(\lambda_i,\lambda_j) - f_{\alpha}(\lambda_i,\lambda_j) - f_{\beta}(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)\} |\langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_j \rangle|^2.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. By (3.2),

$$\rho H_0^2 = \sum_i \lambda_i |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i | H_0^2.$$

Then

$$Tr[\rho H_0^2] = \sum_i \lambda_i \langle \phi_i | H_0^2 | \phi_i \rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i \| H_0 | \phi_i \rangle \|^2.$$
(3.3)

Since

$$\rho^{\alpha}H_{0} = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{\alpha} |\phi_{i}\rangle\langle\phi_{i}|H_{0}$$

and

$$\rho^{1-\alpha}H_0 = \sum_i \lambda_i^{1-\alpha} |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i | H_0,$$

we have

$$\rho^{\alpha} H_0 \rho^{1-\alpha} H_0 = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i^{\alpha} \lambda_j^{1-\alpha} |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | H_0.$$

Thus

$$Tr[\rho^{\alpha}H_{0}\rho^{1-\alpha}H_{0}] = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i}^{\alpha}\lambda_{j}^{1-\alpha}\langle\phi_{i}|H_{0}|\phi_{j}\rangle\langle\phi_{j}|H_{0}|\phi_{i}\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i}^{\alpha}\lambda_{j}^{1-\alpha}|\langle\phi_{i}|H_{0}|\phi_{j}\rangle|^{2}.$$
(3.4)

By the similar calculations we have

$$Tr[\rho^{\beta}H_{0}\rho^{1-\beta}H_{0}] = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i}^{\beta}\lambda_{j}^{1-\beta}\langle\phi_{i}|H_{0}|\phi_{j}\rangle\langle\phi_{j}|H_{0}|\phi_{i}\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i}^{\alpha+\beta}\lambda_{j}^{1-\alpha-\beta}|\langle\phi_{i}|H_{0}|\phi_{j}\rangle|^{2}.$$
(3.5)

$$Tr[\rho^{\alpha+\beta}H_0\rho^{1-\alpha-\beta}H_0] = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i^{\alpha+\beta}\lambda_j^{1-\alpha-\beta}\langle\phi_i|H_0|\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|H_0|\phi_i\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i^{\alpha+\beta}\lambda_j^{1-\alpha-\beta}|\langle\phi_i|H_0|\phi_j\rangle|^2.$$
(3.6)

From (2.5), (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6),

$$\begin{split} &I_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(H) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_i^{\alpha+\beta} \lambda_j^{1-\alpha-\beta} - \lambda_i^{\alpha} \lambda_j^{1-\alpha} - \lambda_i^{\beta} \lambda_j^{1-\beta}) |\langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_j \rangle|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\lambda_i + \lambda_i - \lambda_i - \lambda_i) |\langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_i \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_i^{\alpha+\beta} \lambda_j^{1-\alpha-\beta} - \lambda_i^{\alpha} \lambda_j^{1-\alpha} - \lambda_i^{\beta} \lambda_j^{1-\beta}) |\langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_j \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_j + \lambda_j^{\alpha+\beta} \lambda_i^{1-\alpha-\beta} - \lambda_j^{\alpha} \lambda_i^{1-\alpha} - \lambda_j^{\beta} \lambda_i^{1-\beta}) |\langle \phi_j | H_0 | \phi_i \rangle|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j + f_{\alpha+\beta} (\lambda_i, \lambda_j) - f_{\alpha} (\lambda_i, \lambda_j) - f_{\beta} (\lambda_i, \lambda_j)) |\langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_j \rangle|^2. \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.2

$$J_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(H) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j + f_{\alpha+\beta}(\lambda_i,\lambda_j) + f_{\alpha}(\lambda_i,\lambda_j) + f_{\beta}(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)) |\langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_j \rangle|^2.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. By (2.6), (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\rho,\alpha}(H) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_i^{\alpha+\beta} \lambda_j^{1-\alpha-\beta} + \lambda_i^{\alpha} \lambda_j^{1-\alpha} + \lambda_i^{\beta} \lambda_j^{1-\beta}) |\langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_j \rangle|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\lambda_i + \lambda_i + \lambda_i + \lambda_i) |\langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_i \rangle|^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_i^{\alpha+\beta} \lambda_j^{1-\alpha-\beta} + \lambda_i^{\alpha} \lambda_j^{1-\alpha} + \lambda_i^{\beta} \lambda_j^{1-\beta}) |\langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_j \rangle|^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_j + \lambda_j^{\alpha+\beta} \lambda_i^{1-\alpha-\beta} + \lambda_j^{\alpha} \lambda_i^{1-\alpha} + \lambda_j^{\beta} \lambda_i^{1-\beta}) |\langle \phi_j | H_0 | \phi_i \rangle|^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_i \lambda_i |\langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_i \rangle|^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j + f_{\alpha+\beta}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) + f_{\alpha}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) + f_{\beta}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) |\langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_j \rangle|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j + f_{\alpha+\beta}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) + f_{\alpha}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) + f_{\beta}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) |\langle \phi_i | H_0 | \phi_j \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3 For any t > 0 and $\alpha, \beta \ge 0, \alpha + \beta \ge 1$ or $\alpha + \beta \le \frac{1}{2}$, the following inequality holds;

$$(t^{1-\alpha-\beta}+1)^2(t^{2\alpha}-1)(t^{2\beta}-1) \ge 16\alpha\beta(t-1)^2.$$
(3.7)

Proof of Lemma 3.3. It is sufficient to prove (3.7) for $t \ge 1$ and $\alpha, \beta \ge 0, \alpha + \beta \ge 1$ or $\alpha + \beta \le \frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 3.3 in [12] we have for $0 \le p \le 1$ and $s \ge 1$,

$$(1-2p)^2(s-1)^2 - (s^p - s^{1-p})^2 \ge 0.$$

Then we can rewrite as follows;

$$(s^{2p}-1)(s^{2(1-p)}-1) \ge 4p(1-p)(s-1)^2.$$

We assume that $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. We put $p = \alpha/(\alpha + \beta)$ and $s^{1/(\alpha+\beta)} = t$. Then

$$(t^{2\alpha} - 1)(t^{2\beta} - 1) \ge \frac{4\alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2}(t^{\alpha + \beta} - 1)^2.$$

Then we have

$$(t^{1-\alpha-\beta}+1)^2(t^{2\alpha}-1)(t^{2\beta}-1) \ge \frac{4\alpha\beta}{(\alpha+\beta)^2}(t^{1-\alpha-\beta}+1)^2(t^{\alpha+\beta}-1)^2.$$
(3.8)

In order to have the aimed inequality, we have to show that

$$(t^{1-\alpha-\beta}+1)^2(t^{\alpha+\beta}-1)^2 \ge 4(\alpha+\beta)^2(t-1)^2.$$

It is sufficient to prove the following inequality

$$(t^{1-\alpha-\beta}+1)(t^{\alpha+\beta}-1) \ge 2(\alpha+\beta)(t-1)$$

for $t \ge 1$ and $\alpha, \beta \ge 0, \alpha + \beta \ge 1$ or $\alpha + \beta \le \frac{1}{2}$. We put $\alpha + \beta = k$ and $f(t) = (t^{1-k} + 1)(t^k - 1) - 2k(t - 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t) &= (1-k)t^{-k}(t^k-1) + k(t^{1-k}+1)t^{k-1} - 2k \\ &= (1-k)(1-t^{-k}) + k(1+t^{k-1}) - 2k. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f''(t) = (1-k)kt^{-k-1} + k(k-1)t^{k-2}$$

= $k(k-1)(t^{k-2} - t^{-k-1}).$

When $k = \alpha + \beta \ge 1$ or $k = \alpha + \beta \le \frac{1}{2}$, it is easy to show that $f''(t) \ge 0$ for $t \ge 1$. Since f'(1) = 0, we have $f'(t) \ge 0$ for $t \ge 1$. And since f(1) = 0, we have $f(t) \ge 0$ for $t \ge 1$. Hence we have for $\alpha + \beta \ge 1$ or $\alpha + \beta \le \frac{1}{2}$,

$$(t^{1-\alpha-\beta}+1)(t^{\alpha+\beta}-1) \ge 2(\alpha+\beta)(t-1).$$

It follows from (3.8) that we get

$$(t^{1-\alpha-\beta}+1)^2(t^{2\alpha}-1)(t^{2\beta}-1) \ge 16\alpha\beta(t-1)^2.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since

$$(t^{1-\alpha-\beta}+1)^2(t^{2\alpha}-1)(t^{2\beta}-1) = (t+1+t^{\alpha+\beta}+t^{1-\alpha-\beta})^2 - (t^{\alpha}+t^{1-\alpha}+t^{\beta}+t^{1-\beta})^2,$$

we put $t = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$ in (3.7). Then we have

$$\left\{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + 1 + \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\alpha+\beta} + \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}\right)^{1-\alpha-\beta}\right\}^2 - \left\{\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\alpha} + \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}\right)^{1-\alpha} + \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\beta} + \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}\right)^{1-\beta}\right\}^2$$
$$\geq 16\alpha\beta \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} - 1\right)^2.$$

Then we have

$$\{\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{j} + f_{\alpha+\beta}(\lambda_{i},\lambda_{j}) - f_{\alpha}(\lambda_{i},\lambda_{j}) - f_{\beta}(\lambda_{i},\lambda_{j})\} \times \{\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{j} + f_{\alpha+\beta}(\lambda_{i},\lambda_{j}) + f_{\alpha}(\lambda_{i},\lambda_{j}) + f_{\beta}(\lambda_{i},\lambda_{j})\} = (\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{j} + f_{\alpha+\beta}(\lambda_{i},\lambda_{j}))^{2} - (f_{\alpha}(\lambda_{i},\lambda_{j}) + f_{\beta}(\lambda_{i},\lambda_{j}))^{2} \geq 16\alpha\beta(\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{j})^{2}.$$
(3.9)

Since

$$Tr[\rho[A, B]] = Tr[\rho[A_0, B_0]]$$

= $2iImTr[\rho A_0 B_0]$
= $2i\sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)Im\langle \phi_i | A_0 | \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | B_0 | \phi_i \rangle,$

$$|Tr[\rho[A, B]]| = 2|\sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) Im \langle \phi_i | A_0 | \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | B_0 | \phi_i \rangle|$$

$$\leq 2\sum_{i < j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j| |Im \langle \phi_i | A_0 | \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | B_0 | \phi_i \rangle|.$$

Then we have

$$|Tr[\rho[A,B]]|^2 \le 4 \left\{ \sum_{i < j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j| |Im\langle \phi_i|A_0|\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_j|B_0|\phi_i\rangle| \right\}^2.$$

By (3.9) and Schwarz inequality,

$$\alpha\beta|Tr[\rho[A,B]]|^2$$

$$\leq 4\alpha\beta \left\{ \sum_{i

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \sum_{i

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \sum_{i

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \sum_{i

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i$$$$$$$$$$

where $K = \lambda_i + \lambda_j + f_{\alpha+\beta}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)$ and $L = f_{\alpha}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) + f_{\beta}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)$. Then we have

$$I_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(A)J_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(B) \ge \alpha\beta |Tr[\rho[A,B]]|^2.$$

We also have

$$I_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(B)J_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(A) \ge \alpha\beta |Tr[\rho[A,B]]|^2.$$

Hence we have the final result (3.1).

Remark 3.1 We remark that (2.10) is derived by putting $\beta = 1 - \alpha$ in (3.1). Then Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 given in [12]. Moreover, considering the proof, if the dimension is finite and the density operator ρ is invertible, then (3.1) holds even if $\alpha + \beta \ge 1$.

Remark 3.2 When $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha + \beta < 1$, we can show an example which Theorem 3.1 does not hold as follows; Let

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \beta = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Then we have

$$U_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(A)U_{\rho,\alpha,\beta}(B) = 0.00448729,$$

 $\alpha\beta |Tr[\rho[A, B]]|^2 = 0.125.$

References

- [1] J.C.Bourin, Some inequalities for norms on matrices and operators, Linear Algebra and its Applications, vol.292(1999), pp.139-154.
- [2] L.Cai and S.Luo, On convexity of generalized Wigner-Yanase-Dyson information, Lett. Math. Phys., vol.83(2008), pp.253-264.
- [3] J.I.Fujii, A trace inequality arising from quantum information theory, Linear Algebra and its Applications, vol.400(2005), pp.141-146.
- [4] W.Heisenberg, Uber den anschaulichen Inhat der quantummechanischen Kinematik und Mechanik, Zeitschrift für Physik, vol.43(1927), pp.172-198.
- [5] H.Kosaki, *Matrix trace inequality related to uncertainty principle*, Internatonal Journal of Mathematics, vol.16(2005), pp.629-646.
- [6] E.H.Lieb, Convex trace functions and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson conjecture, Adv. Math., vol.11(1973), pp.267-288.
- [7] S.Luo, *Heisenberg uncertainty relation for mixed states*, Phys. Rev. A, vol.72(2005), p.042110.
- [8] S.Luo and Q.Zhang, On skew information, IEEE Trans. Information Theory, vol.50(2004), pp.1778-1782, and Correction to "On skew information", IEEE Trans. Information Theory, vol.51(2005), p.4432.
- [9] E.P.Wigner and M.M.Yanase, Information content of distribution, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U,S,A., vol.49(1963), pp.910-918.
- [10] K.Yanagi, S.Furuichi and K.Kuriyama, A generalized skew information and uncertainty relation, IEEE Trans. Information Theory, vol.51(2005), pp.4401-4404.
- [11] S.Furuichi, K.Yanagi and K.Kuriyama, Trace inequalities on a generalized Wigner-Yanase skew information, J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol.356(2009), pp.179-185.
- [12] K.Yanagi, Uncertainty relation on Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information, J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol.365(2010), pp.12-18.