Effect of UV-A and UV-B irradiation on broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. Italica Group) floret yellowing during storage
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Abstract

UV-A or UV-B irradiation was applied to broccoli florets to investigate their effect on floret yellowing. Broccoli florets were irradiated with two UV-A doses (4.5 and 9.0 kJ m$^{-2}$) and five UV-B doses (4.4, 8.8, 13.1, 17.5, and 26.3 kJ m$^{-2}$) and then kept in darkness at 15 °C. In general, broccoli florets retained more color after UV-B irradiation as compared to UV-A irradiation. UV-B doses of at least 8.8 kJ m$^{-2}$ to broccoli florets resulted in surface color with a higher hue angle, as compared to those treated with 4.4 kJ m$^{-2}$ UV-B or without UV-B. We therefore selected a UV-B dose of 8.8 kJ m$^{-2}$ for application to different broccoli cultivars (‘Pixel’ and ‘Sawayutaka’), harvested during the winter and early summer seasons. During storage, the ‘Sawayutaka’ cultivar exhibited a slower decrease in green color of florets, when compared to the ‘Pixel’ cultivar. UV-B treatment delayed floret yellowing and chlorophyll degradation. Broccoli harvested in winter or early summer and irradiated with UV-B during storage at 15 °C displayed higher chlorophyll content and hue angle value than broccoli without UV-B treatment. These results suggest that UV-B irradiation is effective in retaining the green color of florets during storage.
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1. Introduction
Floret yellowing is a major limitation to shelf life and broccoli quality. Therefore, suitable treatments are necessary to maintain quality levels until consumption. Some techniques to delay senescence have been investigated, including heat treatments, which effectively reduce yellowing among stored broccoli florets (Funamoto et al., 2002; Costa, et al., 2006; Kaewsuksaeng et al., 2007); chemical treatments such as 1-methylcyclopropene (Ku and Will, 1999; Able et al., 2002) and ethanol vapor (Suzuki et al., 2004); low temperature (Starzyńska et al., 2003); and controlled atmosphere storage (Yamauchi and Watada, 1998). Recently, UV-C irradiation was applied to broccoli florets and effectively delayed floret yellowing during storage (Costa et al., 2006; Lemoine et al., 2008). However, the effects of UV-A and UV-B on yellowing in stored broccoli have not been clarified. Previous studies reported that UV-A and UV-B radiation enhanced the level of antioxidant compounds and antioxidant enzyme activity in plants (Costa et al., 2002; Gao and Zhang, 2008; Xu et al., 2008). However, no study has looked at the effect of postharvest application of UV-A and/or UV-B on the yellowing of broccoli florets. Furthermore, UV-A and UV-B are less harmful wavelengths, in comparison with UV-C. Therefore, these treatments may represent a new practical approach for maintaining the postharvest quality of fruits and vegetables. Notably, the postharvest life of fruits or vegetables on market shelves can be affected by genotypic variation and environmental conditions during crop development (Toivonen and Sweeney, 1998; Tan et al., 1999). Here we examine the impact of UV-A or UV-B irradiation on broccoli floret yellowing. We also discuss the influences of cultivar and harvest season on the UV-B-mediated inhibition of yellowing.
2. Materials and Methods

Broccoli (Brassica oleracea L. Italica Group) cultivars, ‘Sawayutaka’ and ‘Pixel’, were harvested during winter in Fukouka Prefecture and transported to the laboratory of Horticultural Science at Yamaguchi University. The Pixel cultivar was also harvested during early summer. Broccoli heads were immediately irradiated with UV-A (spectral peak value: 342 nm, F15BLB) or UV-B (spectral peak value: 312 nm, T-15M, VL). Each broccoli head was placed vertically under the UV-A or UV-B lamps at a distance of 15 cm, resulting in UV-A energy of 4.5 and 9.0 kJ m⁻² and UV-B energy of 4.4, 8.8, 13.1, 17.5 and 26.3 kJ m⁻². Broccoli florets were kept in polyethylene film bags (0.03 mm in thickness), with the top folded over. The bags were then placed on a plastic tray and stored at 15 °C in the dark. Triplicates of three heads were removed at scheduled intervals during the 6-day storage period, and the floral tissue was analyzed. Chlorophyll (Chl) content was determined using N,N-dimethylformamide (Moran, 1982). Surface color of the heads, as represented by hue angle, was measured with a color difference meter (Nippon-denshoku NF 777).

The experiments were conducted in a completely randomized design. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data was performed using SAS (Microsoft Corporation). The difference between means of data were compared by least significant difference at P<0.05.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Optimization of UV irradiation
As shown in Tables 1 and 2, UV-A treatment did not delay floret yellowing or reduce the hue angle value, although the doses of UV-A (4.5 and 9.0 kJ m\(^{-2}\)) and UV-B (4.4 and 8.8 kJ m\(^{-2}\)) were similar. Broccoli exposed to 8.8 kJ m\(^{-2}\) UV-B displayed more green florets than broccoli exposed to 4.4 kJ m\(^{-2}\) UV-B or without UV-B treatment (the control). UV-B doses of at least 8.8 kJ m\(^{-2}\) significantly delayed the reduction of hue angle values for broccoli stored at 15 ºC. Therefore, 8.8 kJ m\(^{-2}\) was selected as the optimal UV-B dose and applied in the next experiment. We suggest that UV-B treatment is more effective than UV-A irradiation in delaying floret yellowing and that this discrepancy is due to the difference in wavelength. When we exposed florets to 4.4 kJ m\(^{-2}\) of UV-B, the florets turned yellow more quickly than when exposed to the other doses of UV-B. Therefore, the acceleration of broccoli senescence may be affected by UV-B dose. UV-B irradiation is known to induce the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as hydrogen peroxide, superoxide, hydroxyl radical and single oxygen. ROS can cause oxidative damage to membrane lipids, protein and DNA (Foyer et al., 1994). Fortunately, plants protect themselves against UV-B irradiation by accumulating flavonoid compounds, as well as increasing antioxidant production and antioxidative enzyme activity levels (Robberecht and Caldwell, 1983; Jordan, 1996). Therefore, broccoli senescence can be delayed when increases in the levels of reactive oxygen species trigger these defensive mechanisms in florets exposed to optimal doses of UV-B irradiation.

3.2. Influences of cultivar and harvest season on UV-B-mediated inhibition of yellowing

Two broccoli cultivars, ‘Pixel’ and ‘Sawayutaka’ were harvested during the winter. During storage, ‘Pixel’ florets displayed yellowing more rapidly than ‘Sawayutaka’ cultivar
florets. UV-B treatment delayed floret yellowing in both ‘Pixel’ and ‘Sawayutaka’ cultivars.

As shown in Table 3, control florets displayed lower surface color of hue angle values, in comparison to florets exposed to UV-B treatment. Chl contents in ‘Sawayutaka’ florets were slightly higher than those in the ‘Pixel’ cultivar, although Chl contents in fresh broccoli were not significant difference between ‘Pixel’ and ‘Sawayutaka’ cultivars. The decrease in Chl contents was much greater in ‘Pixel’ than ‘Sawayutaka’ during storage. Moreover, Chl contents were significant higher in ‘Sawayutaka’ with UV-B treatment as compared to ‘Pixel’ with UV-B treatment on day 6. These results indicated that ‘Sawayutaka’ could be responded more dramatically to UV-B treatment than ‘Pixel’.

We also determined the effect of harvest season on the inhibitory effect of UV-B treatment. As is apparent in Table 4, broccoli harvested in the early summer exhibited rapid floret yellowing, as well as a gradual reduction in Chl content, indicating that surrounding circumstances during growth and development in broccoli might affect the progress of floret senescence after harvest. Broccoli exposed to UV-B exhibited slight decreases in both hue angle value and Chl content. Notably, UV-B treatment effectively inhibited yellowing in broccoli florets harvested during either the winter or the early summer. Thus, UV-B effectively delayed floret yellowing in various broccoli cultivars, harvested during different seasons. Previously, UV-C and heat treatments have been applied to broccoli florets; these treatments maintained Chl content and delayed floret yellowing. Moreover, all of these treatments effectively inhibited Chl degradation enzyme activities, which are involved in Chl breakdown (Funamoto et al., 2002; Costa et al., 2006). The delay of floret yellowing by UV-B treatment may also suppress Chl-degrading enzyme activities.
In conclusion, the findings obtained in the present study show that UV-B treatment delayed floret yellowing in broccoli. UV-A treatment did not similarly inhibit floret yellowing. From cultivar to cultivar, the broccoli differed slightly in Chl content at harvest; the ‘Sawayutaka’ cultivar exhibited higher Chl content than did the ‘Pixel’ cultivar. Chl contents were also slightly higher in broccoli harvested during the winter season as compared with the early summer season. However, UV-B doses of at least 8.8 kJ m$^{-2}$ effectively delayed the decrease in Chl content, suggesting that UV-B treatment will be useful to maintain the postharvest quality of broccoli.
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Table 1

Changes in hue angle value of broccoli florets with UV-A irradiation during storage at 15 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UV-A treatment (kJ m⁻²)</th>
<th>Hue angle of surface color</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 4</th>
<th>Day 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>131.6 ± 0.60</td>
<td>132.8 ± 1.37</td>
<td>131.0ᵃ ± 0.31</td>
<td>97.9 ± 1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>131.7 ± 2.00</td>
<td>132.4 ± 0.25</td>
<td>128.8ᵃ ± 0.40</td>
<td>93.8 ± 1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>134.0 ± 2.30</td>
<td>132.3 ± 0.23</td>
<td>126.0ᵇ ± 0.74</td>
<td>94.9 ± 0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F-test                     ns     ns     *      ns

The results were expressed as means ± standard error for three broccoli florets in each treatment. Different letters within same column indicate significant difference between treatments. The asterisk (*) indicates that the value is significantly different from corresponding control (p < 0.05). (ns) indicates that the value is not significantly different from corresponding control.
Table 2

Changes in hue angle value of broccoli florets with UV-B irradiation during storage at 15 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UV-B treatment (kJ m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Hue angle of surface color</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 4</th>
<th>Day 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>132.3 ± 0.91</td>
<td>132.2 ± 1.24</td>
<td>115.1(^c) ± 0.88</td>
<td>94.7(^b) ± 1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>131.3 ± 0.96</td>
<td>133.4 ± 0.72</td>
<td>115.9(^c) ± 1.19</td>
<td>92.8(^b) ± 4.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>132.9 ± 0.78</td>
<td>131.2 ± 0.24</td>
<td>121.7(^b) ± 0.60</td>
<td>107.7(^a) ± 1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>131.5 ± 0.42</td>
<td>132.1 ± 0.57</td>
<td>122.0(^b) ± 0.95</td>
<td>107.0(^a) ± 1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>130.9 ± 0.48</td>
<td>132.1 ± 0.53</td>
<td>122.6(^b) ± 1.56</td>
<td>104.3(^a) ± 1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>129.9 ± 0.34</td>
<td>130.1 ± 0.80</td>
<td>126.2(^a) ± 0.59</td>
<td>108.0(^a) ± 0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F-test ns ns * ns

The results were expressed as means ± standard error for three broccoli florets in each treatment. Different letters within same column indicate significant difference between treatments. The asterisk (*) indicates that the value is significantly different from corresponding control \((p < 0.05)\). (ns) indicates that the value is not significantly different from corresponding control.
Table 3
Changes in the hue angle value and total chlorophyll contents of two cultivars of broccoli florets (‘Pixel’ and ‘Sawayutaka’) with or without UV-B (8.8 kJ m⁻²) treatment during storage at 15 ºC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>UV-treatment (kJ m⁻²)</th>
<th>Hue angle value of surface color</th>
<th>Total chlorophyll content (g kg⁻¹ FW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>Day 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>132.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>132.3</td>
<td>131.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawayutaka</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>133.9</td>
<td>132.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>134.8</td>
<td>133.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F-test
ns  ns  **  **  ns  *  **  *

Different letters within column indicate significant difference between treatments and cultivars. The asterisk (*) indicates that the value is significantly different from corresponding control (p < 0.05). The asterisk (**) indicates that the value is significantly different from corresponding control (p < 0.01). (ns) indicates that the value is not significantly different from corresponding control.
Table 4

Changes in the hue angle value and total chlorophyll contents of broccoli florets with or without UV-B (8.8 kJ m$^{-2}$) treatment during storage at 15 ºC. The cultivar presented is ‘Pixel’, harvested in winter and early summer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harvest seasons</th>
<th>UV-treatment (kJ m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Hue angle value of surface color</th>
<th>Total chlorophyll content (g kg$^{-1}$ FW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>Day 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>132.2$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>132.3</td>
<td>131.2$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early summer</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>135.9</td>
<td>125.3$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>136.8</td>
<td>133.4$^a$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F-test

|                  | ns | *  | *  | *  | ns | *  | *  | *  | *  |

Different letters within same column indicate significant difference between treatments and harvest seasons. The asterisk (*) indicates that the value is significantly different from corresponding control ($p < 0.05$). (ns) indicates that the value is not significantly different from corresponding control.