

## WEAKLY UNBOUNDED OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

By

Atsushi INOUE and Ken KURIYAMA

(Received March 16, 1977)

### §1. Introduction

In the previous paper [7] we defined a weakly (resp. strictly) unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra and obtained the following fact: If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $EW^*$ -algebra, then there exists a projection  $E$  in  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_b \cap \overline{\mathfrak{A}}'_b$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}_E$  is a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra,  $\mathfrak{A}_{I-E}$  is a strictly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra and  $\mathfrak{A}$  equals the product  $\mathfrak{A}_E \times \mathfrak{A}_{I-E}$  of the  $EW^*$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_E$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_{I-E}$ . The primary purpose of this paper is to investigate linear functionals on a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra.

In §3, we shall study the general theory of weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebras. First, we define the notation of a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  associated with a family  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of von Neumann algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  and show that the definition is equivalent to the definition of a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra defined in [7]. Next, we define the locally convex topologies (; weak,  $\sigma$ -weak, locally  $\sigma$ -weak, strong,  $\sigma$ -strong, locally  $\sigma$ -strong and locally uniform topologies) on  $\mathfrak{A}$  and the commutants, bicommutants of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Furthermore, we shall investigate the relation between the topologies and the commutants.

In §4, we shall study the dual space  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}_*$ ) of  $\mathfrak{A}$  with respect to the locally uniform topology (resp.  $\sigma$ -weak topology). Then we have that  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$ ) equals the direct sum  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^{\oplus} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$  (resp.  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^{\oplus} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*$ ) of the dual space  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$  (resp.  $(\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*$ ) of the von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  with respect to the uniform topology (resp.  $\sigma$ -weak topology), (Theorem 4.1).

In §5, we shall obtain the structure of invariant subspaces of  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$ : Every closed left (resp. right) invariant subspace  $\mathbf{V}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$  is of the form;

$$\mathbf{V} = (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)E_0 \quad (\text{resp. } \mathbf{V} = E_0(\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*))$$

for some projection  $E_0$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  (Theorem 5.1).

In §6, we shall define normal and singular linear functionals on  $\mathfrak{A}$  and obtain the following fact: Every element  $\phi$  of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  is uniquely decomposed into

the sun;  $\phi = \phi_n + \phi_s$ , where  $\phi_n$  (resp.  $\phi_s$ ) is a normal (resp. singular) linear functional on  $\mathfrak{A}$  (Theorem 6.1). Furthermore, we can characterize the singularity and normality (Theorem 6.2, 6.3).

## §2. Preliminaries

We give here only the basic definitions and facts needed. For a more complete discussion of the basic properties of  $EW^*$ -algebras the reader is referred to [6, 7].

If  $S$  and  $T$  are linear operators on a Hilbert space  $\eta$  with domains  $\mathcal{D}(S)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  we say  $S$  is an extension of  $T$ , denoted by  $S \supset T$ , if  $\mathcal{D}(S) \supset \mathcal{D}(T)$  and  $S\xi = T\xi$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ . If  $S$  is a closable operator we denote by  $\bar{S}$  the smallest closed extension of  $S$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a set of closable operators on  $\eta$ . Then we set

$$\bar{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\bar{S}; S \in \mathfrak{A}\}.$$

If  $S$  is a linear operator with dense domain  $\mathcal{D}(S)$  we denote by  $S^*$  the hermitian adjoint of  $S$ . Let  $S, T$  be closed operators on  $\eta$ . If  $S+T$  is closable, then  $\overline{S+T}$  is called the strong sum of  $S$  and  $T$ , and is denoted  $S+T$ . The strong product is likewise defined to be  $\overline{ST}$  if it exists, and is denoted by  $S \cdot T$ . The strong scalar multiplication  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  (the field of complex numbers) and  $S$  is defined by  $\lambda \cdot S = \lambda S$  if  $\lambda \neq 0$ , and  $\lambda \cdot S = 0$  if  $\lambda = 0$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  be a pre-Hilbert space with an inner product  $( | )$  and  $\eta$  the completion of  $\mathfrak{D}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{D})$  the set of all linear operators on  $\mathfrak{D}$ . We set

$$\mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D}) = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{D}); A^* \mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{D}\}.$$

Every  $A \in \mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D})$  is a closable operator on  $\eta$  with domain  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Putting

$$A^* = A^* / \mathfrak{D} \text{ (the restriction of } A^* \text{ onto } \mathfrak{D}),$$

the map  $A \rightarrow A^*$  is an involution on  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D})$ . It is easily showed that  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D})$  is a  $*$ -algebra of operators on  $\mathfrak{D}$  with the involution  $\#$ . A  $\#$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D})$  is called a  $\#$ -algebra on  $\mathfrak{D}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D})$  is called a maximal  $\#$ -algebra on  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $\#$ -algebra on  $\mathfrak{D}$ . We set

$$\mathfrak{A}_b = \{A \in \mathfrak{A}; \bar{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\eta)\},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(\eta)$  denotes the set of all bounded linear operators on  $\eta$ . If  $\mathfrak{A} \neq \mathfrak{A}_b$ ,

then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called a pure  $\#$ -algebra on  $\mathfrak{D}$ . A  $\#$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called symmetric if it has an identity operator  $I$  and furthermore,  $(I + S^*S)^{-1}$  exists and lies in  $\mathfrak{A}_b$  for all  $S \in \mathfrak{A}$ . A symmetric  $\#$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $\mathfrak{D}$  is called an  $EC^*$ -algebra (resp.  $EW^*$ -algebra) on  $\mathfrak{D}$  over  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_b$  if  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_b$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra (resp.  $W^*$ -algebra).

A  $\#$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $\mathfrak{D}$  is said to be closed (resp. self-adjoint) if  $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcap_{A \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{D}(\bar{A})$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcap_{A \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ ). It is easy to show that if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a self-adjoint  $\#$ -algebra on  $\mathfrak{D}$  then it is closed. By ([6] Proposition 2.6) if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a closed symmetric  $\#$ -algebra, then it is self-adjoint. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $\#$ -algebra on  $\mathfrak{D}$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{A}) &= \bigcap_{A \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{D}(\bar{A}), \quad \tilde{A}x = \bar{A}x \quad (x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{A})), \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{A}} &= \{\tilde{A}; A \in \mathfrak{A}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By ([6] Proposition 2.5) we see that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a closed  $\#$ -algebra on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Furthermore, it is proved that if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a symmetric  $\#$ -algebra (resp.  $EC^*$ -algebra,  $EW^*$ -algebra) on  $\mathfrak{D}$  then  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a closed symmetric  $\#$ -algebra (resp. closed  $EC^*$ -algebra, closed  $EW^*$ -algebra) on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{A})$ .  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  is called the closure of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**§3. General theory of weakly unbounded operator algebras**

In this section we shall define a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra and show that the definition is equivalent to the definition of a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra in the previous paper [7].

Throughout this paper let  $A$  be an infinite set and  $\{\eta_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  a family of Hilbert spaces  $\eta_\lambda$ . Let  $\eta(A) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} \eta_\lambda$ , i.e., the direct sum of the Hilbert spaces  $\eta_\lambda$  and  $E_\lambda$  the projection from  $\eta(A)$  onto  $\eta_\lambda$ . Let  $\mathfrak{D}(A)$  be the set  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} \eta_\lambda$  of all elements of  $\eta(A)$  with only a finite number of non-zero coordinates. Clearly  $\mathfrak{D}(A)$  is a dense subspace of  $\eta(A)$ .

Let  $A_\lambda$  be a  $*$ -algebra for every  $\lambda \in A$  and  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} A_\lambda$  the Cartesian product of  $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ . Under the operations:  $\{a_\lambda\} + \{b_\lambda\} = \{a_\lambda + b_\lambda\}$ ,  $\alpha\{a_\lambda\} = \{\alpha a_\lambda\}$ ,  $\{a_\lambda\}\{b_\lambda\} = \{a_\lambda b_\lambda\}$  and  $\{a_\lambda\}^* = \{a_\lambda^*\}$  ( $\{a_\lambda\}, \{b_\lambda\} \in \prod_{\lambda \in A} A_\lambda, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ),  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} A_\lambda$  is a  $*$ -algebra.

Let  $X_\lambda$  be a linear operator on  $\eta_\lambda$  with the domain  $\mathcal{D}(X_\lambda)$  for every  $\lambda \in A$ . We define a linear operator  $(X_\lambda)$  on  $\eta(A)$  with the domain  $\mathcal{D}((X_\lambda))$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}((X_\lambda)) &= \{\{x_\lambda\} \in \eta(A); x_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(X_\lambda) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in A \\ &\text{and } \sum_{\lambda \in A} \|X_\lambda x_\lambda\|^2 < \infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(X_\lambda)\{x_\lambda\} = \{X_\lambda x_\lambda\}, \quad \{x_\lambda\} \in \mathcal{D}((X_\lambda)).$$

It is not difficult to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose that  $X_\lambda$  is a densely-defined closable operator on  $\eta_\lambda$  and  $\overline{X_\lambda} = U_\lambda |\overline{X_\lambda}|$  is the polar decomposition of  $\overline{X_\lambda}$  for every  $\lambda \in A$ . We set  $X = (X_\lambda)$  and  $U = (U_\lambda)$ . Then:

- (1)  $\overline{X} = (\overline{X_\lambda})$ ,  $X^* = (X_\lambda^*)$ ;
- (2)  $|\overline{X}| = (|\overline{X_\lambda}|)$  and  $\overline{X} = U|\overline{X}|$  is the polar decomposition of  $\overline{X}$ .

Let  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  be a family of bounded  $*$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  on  $\eta_\lambda$ . We denote by  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  the set  $\{(A_\lambda); A_\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}$  of closed operators on  $\eta(A)$ . For each  $\{A_\lambda\} \in \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  and  $\{\xi_\lambda\} \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  putting

$$(A_\lambda)\{\xi_\lambda\} = \{A_\lambda \xi_\lambda\},$$

$(A_\lambda)$  is a linear operator on  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ . We denote by  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  the set  $\{(A_\lambda); A_\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}$  of linear operators on  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ .

LEMMA 3.2. Let  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  be a family of bounded  $*$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  on  $\eta_\lambda$ . Then:

- (1) For each  $\{A_\lambda\} \in \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  we have

$$(\overline{A_\lambda}) = (\overline{A_\lambda}), \quad (A_\lambda)^* = (A_\lambda^*);$$

- (2)  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  is a  $\#$ -algebra on  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ . In particular, if  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra (resp.  $W^*$ -algebra) for every  $\lambda \in A$  then  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  is an  $EC^*$ -algebra (resp.  $EW^*$ -algebra) on  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  over the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  of the  $C^*$ -algebras (resp.  $W^*$ -algebras)  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ ;

- (3)  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  is a  $*$ -algebra of closed operators on  $\eta(A)$  under the operations of strong sum, strong product, adjoint and strong scalar multiplication. In particular, if  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra (resp.  $W^*$ -algebra) then  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  is an  $EC^*$ -algebra (resp.  $EW^*$ -algebra) over  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  defined in [2].

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  be a family of bounded  $*$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  with identity operators on Hilbert spaces  $\eta_\lambda$ . A  $\#$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is called a weakly unbounded  $\#$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a  $\#$ -subalgebra of  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_b = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ . In particular, if  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra (resp. von Neumann algebra)

for every  $\lambda \in A$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called a weakly unbounded  $EC^*$ -algebra (resp.  $EW^*$ -algebra) associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra (defined in [7]), that is, there exists a family  $\{\mathfrak{B}_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of von Neumann algebras  $\mathfrak{B}_\gamma$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a  $*$ -subalgebra of the  $EW^*$ -algebra  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{B}_\gamma$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_b = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{B}_\gamma$ . Conversely if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra, then there exists a family  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  of von Neumann algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  on Hilbert spaces  $\eta_\lambda$  such that  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}/\mathfrak{D}(A)$  is a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ . It is obvious that  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a  $*$ -subalgebra of the  $EW^*$ -algebra  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ . So,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra.

Conversely suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra, that is, there exists a family  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  of von Neumann algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  on Hilbert spaces  $\eta_\lambda$  such that  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a  $*$ -subalgebra  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_b = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ . For each  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\overline{A} = (A_\lambda) \in \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ , and so  $\mathcal{D}(\overline{A}) \supset \mathfrak{D}(A) = \sum_{\lambda \in A} \eta_\lambda$ . We therefore see that  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}/\mathfrak{D}(A)$  is an  $EW^*$ -algebra on  $\mathfrak{D}(A)$  over  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ .

By Proposition 3.1 it is seen that for the study of weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebras we have only to study weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebras associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ .

We shall introduce locally convex topologies on a weakly unbounded  $\#$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ .

- (1) Weak topology. The locally convex topology induced by seminorms:

$$P_{\xi, \eta}(A) = |(A\xi|\eta)|, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{D}(A),$$

is called the weak topology on  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

- (2) Strong topology. The locally convex topology induced by seminorms

$$P_\xi(A) = \|A\xi\|, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{D}(A),$$

is called the strong topology on  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

- (3)  $\sigma$ -weak topology. We set

$$\mathfrak{D}_\infty(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\xi_\infty = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots); \xi_i \in \mathfrak{D}(A), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|A\xi_n\|^2 < \infty \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathfrak{A}\},$$

$$P_{\xi_{\infty}, \eta_{\infty}}(A) = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A\xi_n | \eta_n) \right|, \quad \xi_{\infty} = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots),$$

$$\eta_{\infty} = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots) \in \mathfrak{D}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{A}).$$

Then  $P_{\xi_{\infty}, \eta_{\infty}}(\cdot)$  is a seminorm on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The locally convex topology induced by the seminorms  $\{P_{\xi_{\infty}, \eta_{\infty}}(\cdot); \xi_{\infty}, \eta_{\infty} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{A})\}$  is called the  $\sigma$ -weak topology on  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

(4)  $\sigma$ -strong topology. The locally convex topology induced by seminorms

$$P_{\xi_{\infty}}(A) = \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|A\xi_n\|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \xi_{\infty} = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \mathfrak{D}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{A})$$

is called the  $\sigma$ -strong topology on  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

(5) Locally  $\sigma$ -weak topology. We set

$$(\eta_{\lambda})_{\infty} = \{x_{\infty}^{(\lambda)} = (x_1^{(\lambda)}, x_2^{(\lambda)}, \dots); x_n^{(\lambda)} \in \eta_{\lambda}, \quad n=1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n^{(\lambda)}\|^2 < \infty\},$$

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\infty}(A) = \sum_{\lambda \in A}^{\oplus} (\eta_{\lambda})_{\infty},$$

$$P_{x_{\infty}, y_{\infty}}(A) = \sum_{\lambda \in A} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_{\lambda} x_n^{(\lambda)} | y_n^{(\lambda)}) \right|, \quad A = (A_{\lambda}) \in \mathfrak{A},$$

$$x_{\infty} = \{x_{\infty}^{(\lambda)}\}, \quad y_{\infty} = \{y_{\infty}^{(\lambda)}\} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\infty}(A).$$

Then  $P_{x_{\infty}, y_{\infty}}(\cdot)$  is a seminorm on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The locally convex topology induced by the seminorms  $\{P_{x_{\infty}, y_{\infty}}(\cdot); x_{\infty}, y_{\infty} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\infty}(A)\}$  is called the locally  $\sigma$ -weak topology on  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

(6) Locally  $\sigma$ -strong topology. The locally convex topology induced by seminorms

$$P_{x_{\infty}}(A) = \sum_{\lambda \in A} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|A_{\lambda} x_n^{(\lambda)}\|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad A = (A_{\lambda}) \in \mathfrak{A},$$

$$x_{\infty} = \{x_{\infty}^{(\lambda)}\} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\infty}(A)$$

is called the locally  $\sigma$ -strong topology on  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

(7) Locally uniform topology. We set

$$\|A\|_\lambda = \|A_\lambda\|, A = (A_\lambda) \in \mathfrak{A},$$

where  $\|A_\lambda\|$  means the operator norm of  $A_\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ . Then  $\|\cdot\|_\lambda$  is a seminorm on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The locally convex topology induced by the seminorms  $\{\|\cdot\|_\lambda; \lambda \in A\}$  is called the locally uniform topology on  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

It is easy to show that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a locally convex  $*$ -algebra under the involution  $\#$  and weak (or,  $\sigma$ -weak, locally  $\sigma$ -weak, locally uniform) topology.

A  $*$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is called a (complete) LMC  $*$ -algebra if there exists a family  $\{P_i\}_{i \in I}$  of seminorms defined on  $\mathbf{A}$  such that

- (1)  $\{P_i\}_{i \in I}$  defines a Hausdorff (complete) locally convex topology on  $\mathbf{A}$ ;
- (2)  $P_i(xy) \leq P_i(x)P_i(y)$  for each  $x, y \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $i \in I$ ;
- (3)  $P_i(x^*) = P_i(x)$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $i \in I$ .

In particular, a complete LMC  $*$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is called a locally  $C^*$ -algebra if

- (4)  $P_i(x^*x) = P_i(x)^2$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $i \in I$ .

A seminorm satisfying (1)~(4) is called a  $C^*$ -seminorm on  $\mathbf{A}$ .

LEMMA 3.3. ([16] Prop. 10.6) A  $*$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is a locally  $C^*$ -algebra if and only if  $\mathbf{A}$  is a closed  $*$ -subalgebra of Cartesian product of  $C^*$ -algebras.

LEMMA 3.4. If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a weakly unbounded  $\#$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ , then it is a LMC  $*$ -algebra under the involution  $\#$  and locally uniform topology. In particular, if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a weakly unbounded  $EC^*$ -algebra and it is closed under the locally uniform topology then it is a locally  $C^*$ -algebra.

For a more complete discussion of the basic properties of LMC  $*$ -algebras the reader is referred to [1, 5, 12, 16].

We shall introduce commutants and bicommutants of a weakly unbounded  $\#$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  as follows:

$$\mathfrak{A}' = \{C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{V}(A)); (CA\xi|\eta) = (AC\xi|\eta)\}$$

$$\text{for all } A \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ and } \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{D}(A)\},$$

$$\mathfrak{A}^c = \{S \in \mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D}(A)); SA = AS \text{ for all } A \in \mathfrak{A}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{A}^{c'} = \{A \in \mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D}(A)); SA = AS \text{ for all } S \in \mathfrak{A}^c\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a weakly unbounded  $\#$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ . Then:

- (1)  $\mathfrak{A}' = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)'$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}'' = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)''$ ;

$$(2) \mathfrak{A}^c = \prod_{\lambda \in A} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)', \mathfrak{A}^{cc} = \prod_{\lambda \in A} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)''.$$

DEFINITION 3.2.  $\mathfrak{A}'$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}''$ ) is called the bounded commutant (resp. bounded bicommutant) of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .  $\mathfrak{A}^c$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}^{cc}$ ) is called the commutant (resp. bicommutant) of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a weakly unbounded  $\#$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ . Then the following algebras (1)~(8) equal:

- (1)  $\mathfrak{A}^{cc}$ ;
- (2)  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)''$ ;
- (3) the weak closure  $[\mathfrak{A}]^w$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D}(A))$ ;
- (4) the locally  $\sigma$ -weak closure  $[\mathfrak{A}]^{l\sigma w}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D}(A))$ ;
- (5) the  $\sigma$ -weak closure  $[\mathfrak{A}]^{\sigma w}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D}(A))$ ;
- (6) the strong closure  $[\mathfrak{A}]^s$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D}(A))$ ;
- (7) the locally  $\sigma$ -strong closure  $[\mathfrak{A}]^{l\sigma s}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D}(A))$ ;
- (8) the  $\sigma$ -strong closure  $[\mathfrak{A}]^{\sigma s}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{D}(A))$ .

PROOF. The following inclusions are obvious:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathfrak{A}]^{\sigma w} & \subset & [\mathfrak{A}]^{l\sigma w} \subset [\mathfrak{A}]^w \\ \cup & & \cup \\ [\mathfrak{A}]^{\sigma s} & \subset & [\mathfrak{A}]^{l\sigma s} \subset [\mathfrak{A}]^s \end{array}$$

We have only to show  $\mathfrak{A}^{cc} \subset [\mathfrak{A}]^{\sigma s}$  and  $[\mathfrak{A}]^w \subset \mathfrak{A}^{cc}$ . These are proved after a slight modification of ([9] Theorem 3).

#### §4. Dual spaces of a weakly unbounded EC $\#$ -algebra

In this section we shall study the dual spaces of a weakly unbounded EC $\#$ -algebra.

In the Cartesian product  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$  of vector spaces  $X_\gamma$ , the vector space spanned by  $\cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$  (or, more precisely, by  $\cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} l_\gamma(X_\gamma)$ , where  $l_\gamma$  is the injection mapping of  $X_\gamma$  in the product) is called the direct sum of  $X_\gamma$ , and denoted by  $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ . It is the set of those elements of  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$  with only a finite number of non-zero coordinates. If each  $X_\gamma$  is a locally convex space, then the direct sum  $X = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$  can be given the topology by considering  $X$  as the inductive limit of the locally convex spaces  $X_\gamma$  by  $l_\gamma$ . This topology is the finest locally convex space topology

such that induce the original topology on each  $X_\gamma$ . This topology is called the direct sum topology for  $X$ , and under it  $X$  is called the topological direct sum of  $X_\gamma$ . Then the following facts are well known. The dual of the topological direct sum  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma}^\oplus X_\gamma$  is the product  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma^*$  of the duals ( $X_\gamma^*$  denotes the dual space of the locally convex space  $X_\gamma$ ). The dual of the topological product  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$  is the direct sum  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma}^\oplus X_\gamma^*$  of the duals.

In this section let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a weakly unbounded  $EC^*$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}_*^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_\sim^*$ ) denote the set of all locally uniformly (resp.  $\sigma$ -weakly, locally  $\sigma$ -weakly) continuous linear functionals on  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_+^*$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}_*^+$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_\sim^+$ ) the set of all positive elements of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}_*^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_\sim^*$ ). For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$   $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$  (resp.  $(\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*$ ) the set of all uniformly (resp.  $\sigma$ -weakly) continuous linear functionals on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.**

- (1)  $\mathfrak{A}^* = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\oplus \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$ ;
- (2)  $\mathfrak{A}_+^* = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\oplus (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_+^*$ .

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra. Then:

- (3)  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*^* = \mathfrak{A}_\sim^* = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\oplus (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*$ ;
- (4)  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_+^* = \mathfrak{A}_\sim^+ = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\oplus (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_+^*$ .

**PROOF.** (1) Suppose that  $\sum_{\lambda \in A} f_\lambda \in \sum_{\lambda \in A}^\oplus \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$  ( $A$ ; finite subset of  $\Lambda$ ). For each  $A = (A_\lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,

$$\left(\sum_{\lambda \in A} f_\lambda\right)(A) = \sum_{\lambda \in A} f_\lambda(A_\lambda).$$

Hence it is easily showed that  $\sum_{\lambda \in A} f_\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ . Conversely suppose that  $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ . Then there exist a finite subset  $A$  of  $\Lambda$  and a positive number  $\gamma$  such that

$$|f(A)| \leq \gamma \sum_{\lambda \in A} \|A\|_\lambda$$

for all  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . For each  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  and  $A_{\lambda_0} \in \mathfrak{A}_{\lambda_0}$  we set

$$l_{\lambda_0}(A_{\lambda_0}) = (B_\lambda); (B_{\lambda_0} = A_{\lambda_0} \text{ and } B_\lambda = 0 \text{ for } \lambda \neq \lambda_0).$$

Then, since  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_b = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ ,  $l_{\lambda_0}(A_{\lambda_0}) \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Thus, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  it is seen that  $l_\lambda$  is a

map of  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  into  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose  $\lambda_0 \in \Delta$ . For each  $A_{\lambda_0} \in \mathfrak{A}_{\lambda_0}$ ,

$$|f(l_{\lambda_0}(A_{\lambda_0}))| \leq \gamma \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \|l_{\lambda_0}(A_{\lambda_0})\|_\lambda = 0.$$

That is, if  $\lambda \in \Delta$  then  $f$  vanishes on  $l_\lambda(\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)$ . We set

$$f_\lambda = f \circ l_\lambda.$$

Then it is easily showed that  $f_\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$  and  $f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} f_\lambda$ . Thus,  $f \in \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$ .

(2); Suppose  $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ . By (1),  $f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} f_\lambda \in \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$  for some finite number  $\Delta$  of  $\Delta$ . For each  $\lambda_0 \in \Delta$  and  $A_{\lambda_0} \in \mathfrak{A}_{\lambda_0}$  we have

$$0 \leq f(l_{\lambda_0}(A_{\lambda_0})^* l_{\lambda_0}(A_{\lambda_0})) = f_{\lambda_0}(A_{\lambda_0}^* A_{\lambda_0}).$$

Hence,  $f_\lambda \geq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Delta$ . The converse is obvious.

(3); We can prove  $\mathfrak{A}_\sim = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*$  in the same way as (1). The inclusion  $\mathfrak{A}_\sim \subset \mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$  follows from the definitions of locally uniform, locally  $\sigma$ -weak and  $\sigma$ -weak topologies. Suppose  $f \in \mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  be the closure of the  $EW^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}(A)(\mathfrak{A}) &= \bigcap_{A \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{D}(\bar{A}), \\ \bar{A}x &= A\bar{x}, \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}, \quad x \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}(A)(\mathfrak{A}), \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{A}} &= \{\bar{A}; A \in \mathfrak{A}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$  we set

$$\tilde{f}(\bar{A}) = f(A).$$

Then we can easily show  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_*^* \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^*$ . By ([6] Theorem 4.8) there exists an element  $\xi_\infty = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_\infty(\tilde{\mathfrak{A}})$  such that  $\xi_n = \{\xi_n^{(\lambda)}\} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}(A)(\mathfrak{A})$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\tilde{f} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \omega_{\xi_n}$  (, where  $\omega_\xi(A) = (A\xi|\xi)$ ). Since  $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ , there are a finite subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Delta$  and a positive number  $\gamma$  such that

$$|f(A)| \leq \gamma \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \|A\|_\lambda$$

for all  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then we have

$$f(A) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\bar{A}\xi_n|\xi_n) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} (A_\lambda \xi_n^{(\lambda)}|\xi_n^{(\lambda)}).$$

We can now show that  $\xi_n^{(\lambda_0)} = 0$  for each  $\lambda_0 \in \Delta$ . In fact, suppose that  $\xi_n^{(\lambda_0)} \neq 0$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \Delta$ . Putting

$$A = (A_\lambda), (A_\lambda = 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Delta \text{ and } A_\lambda = I \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Delta),$$

$A \in \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda = \mathfrak{A}_b$  and  $|f(A)| \leq \gamma \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \|A_\lambda\| = 0$ . Hence,  $f(A) = 0$ . On the other hand, we have

$$0 < \|\xi_n^{(\lambda_0)}\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta - \Delta} \|\xi_n^{(\lambda)}\|^2 = f(A).$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore we get that  $\xi_n^{(\lambda)} = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Delta$ . Hence,

$$f(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} (A_\lambda \xi_n^{(\lambda)} | \xi_n^{(\lambda)}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_{\xi_n^{(\lambda)}}(A_\lambda).$$

By ([2] Ch. I, § 4, Th. I),  $f_\lambda := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_{\xi_n^{(\lambda)}} \in (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*^+$ . Therefore,  $f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} f_\lambda \in \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*^+$ . Generally suppose  $f \in \mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$ . Let  $\tilde{f} = |\tilde{f}|U$  be the polar decomposition of  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_*$  ([6] Proposition 4.6). Then,  $|\tilde{f}| \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_*^+$ ,  $U = (U_\lambda) \in \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  and  $|\tilde{f}| = \tilde{f}U^*$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \||\tilde{f}|(\tilde{A})| &= |\tilde{f}(U^* \tilde{A})| \leq \gamma \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \|U_\lambda^* A_\lambda\| \\ &\leq \gamma \|\sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} A_\lambda\| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Hence,  $|\tilde{f}| \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_*^+ \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^*$ . By the above argument,  $|\tilde{f}| = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} f_\lambda \in \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*^+$ . Therefore,  $f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} f_\lambda U_\lambda \in \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*$ .  
(4); This follows from the proof of (3).

We give the direct sum topology the dual space  $\mathfrak{A}^* = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$  of a weakly unbounded  $EC^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Delta}$ . Then the topological direct sum  $\mathfrak{A}^* = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$  is complete and  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_* = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ .

**COROLLARY.** If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Delta}$ , then the dual  $(\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)^*$  of the topological direct sum  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$  equals the Cartesian product  $\prod_{\lambda \in \Delta} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$  of the  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ .

### §5. Invariant subspaces of the dual space

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a weakly unbounded  $EC^*$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . For  $A \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ , we define actions of  $A$  on  $f$  by:

$$\langle X, Af \rangle = f(XA),$$

$$\langle X, fA \rangle = f(AX), \quad X \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda.$$

A subspace  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  is called left (resp. right) invariant if  $AV \subset V$  (resp.  $VA \subset V$ ) for all  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . A both side invariant subspace is merely called invariant.

LEMMA 5.1. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a weakly unbounded  $EC^*$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . If  $V$  is a left (resp. right) invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ , then  $(\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda)V \subset V$  (resp.  $V(\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda) \subset V$ ).

PROOF. Suppose that  $A = (A_\lambda) \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  and  $\phi \in V$ . Since  $V \subset \mathfrak{A}^* = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^{\oplus} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\phi = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \phi_\lambda$  ( $\phi_\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*$ ). Then we set

$$A_\Delta = (B_\lambda) \quad (B_\lambda = A_\lambda \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Delta \text{ and } B_\lambda = 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda - \Delta).$$

Then we have that  $A_\Delta \in \mathfrak{A}_b$  and

$$A\phi = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} A_\lambda \phi_\lambda = A_\Delta \phi.$$

Hence,  $A\phi \in \mathfrak{A}V = V$ .

LEMMA 5.2. If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $EW^*$ -algebra, then every  $\sigma$ -weakly closed left (resp. right) ideal  $\mathfrak{I}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  contains a unique projection  $E$  such that  $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{A}E$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{I} = E\mathfrak{A}$ ). If  $\mathfrak{I}$  is a 2-sided ideal, then  $E$  belongs to the center  $\mathfrak{A}' \cap \mathfrak{A}''$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{I}$  is a  $\sigma$ -weakly closed left ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . It is easily showed that  $\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_b$  is a  $\sigma$ -weakly closed left ideal of the von Neumann algebra  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_b$ . By ([2] Ch. I, §3, Cor. 3) there is a unique projection  $E$  in  $\mathfrak{I}_b$  such that  $\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_b = \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_b E$ . We shall show that  $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{A}E$ . The inclusion  $\mathfrak{A}E \subset \mathfrak{I}$  follows from  $E \in \mathfrak{I}_b$ . Conversely take an arbitrary element  $A$  of  $\mathfrak{I}$ . Let  $A = U|A|$  be the polar decom-

position and  $|\bar{A}| = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE(\lambda)$  the spectral resolution of  $|\bar{A}|$ . Then,  $|A| = U^*A \in \mathfrak{S}$ , and so  $|\bar{A}|_n = \int_0^n \lambda dE(\lambda) = |\bar{A}|E(n) \in \mathfrak{S}_b$ . Hence,  $|\bar{A}|_n = |\bar{A}|_n \bar{E}$ . Since  $|A|_n$  converges weakly to  $|A|$ , we have  $|A| = |A|E$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{A}E$ .

**THEOREM 5.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ . Then:

(1) There exists a one-to-one correspondence;  $\mathbf{V} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{S}$  between the closed left (resp. right) invariant subspaces  $\mathbf{V}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$  and the  $\sigma$ -weakly closed right (resp. left) ideals  $\mathfrak{S}$  of the  $EW^*$ -algebra  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  determined by;

$$\mathbf{V}^0 = \mathfrak{S} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{S}^0 = \mathbf{V},$$

where  $\mathbf{V}^0$  and  $\mathfrak{S}^0$  mean the polars of  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$  in  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  and in  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$  respectively.

(2) Every closed left (resp. right) invariant subspace  $\mathbf{V}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$  is of the form;

$$\mathbf{V} = (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)E_0 \quad (\text{resp. } \mathbf{V} = E_0(\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*))$$

by some projection  $E_0$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

(3)  $\mathbf{V}$  is invariant if and only if  $E_0$  is central.

**PROOF.** (1); Suppose that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a  $\sigma$ -weakly closed right ideal of  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ . By Lemma 5.1 there is a projection  $E_0$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  with  $\mathfrak{S} = (I - E_0) \left( \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda \right)$ . We shall show that  $\mathfrak{S}^0 = (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)E_0$ . If  $\phi \in \mathfrak{S}^0$  and  $A \in \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ , then

$$0 = \langle (I - E_0)A, \phi \rangle = \langle A, \phi(I - E_0) \rangle.$$

Hence,  $\phi(I - E_0) = 0$ , i. e.,  $\phi = \phi E_0$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{S}^0 \subset (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)E_0$ . Conversely suppose  $\phi \in \mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$ . Then,

$$\langle \mathfrak{S}, \phi E_0 \rangle = \langle E_0 \mathfrak{S}, \phi \rangle = 0.$$

Hence,  $\phi E_0 \in \mathfrak{S}^0$ , and so  $(\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)E_0 \subset \mathfrak{S}^0$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{S}^0 = (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)E_0$ . Putting  $E_0 = (E_\lambda^{(0)})$ ,  $(\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)E_0 = \sum_{\lambda \in A}^{\oplus} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_* E_\lambda^{(0)}$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{V} := (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)E_0$  is a closed left invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$ .

Suppose that  $\mathbf{V}$  is a closed left invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$ . Then we shall show that  $\mathfrak{S} := \mathbf{V}^0$  is a  $\sigma$ -weakly closed right ideal of  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ . For each  $A \in \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathfrak{I}A, \mathbf{V} \rangle &= \langle \mathfrak{I}, A\mathbf{V} \rangle \\
&= \langle \mathfrak{I}, \mathbf{V} \rangle \quad (\text{Lemma 5.1}) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mathfrak{I}(\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda) \subset \mathfrak{I}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{I}$  is a right ideal of  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ . Let  $\{A_\alpha\}$  be a net in  $\mathfrak{I}$  that converges  $\sigma$ -weakly to  $A \in \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ . Since  $\mathbf{V} \subset \mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$ , we have

$$0 = \langle A_\alpha, \mathbf{V} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle A, \mathbf{V} \rangle.$$

Hence,  $\langle A, \mathbf{V} \rangle = 0$ , and so  $A \in \mathfrak{I}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{I}$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly closed.

From the general theory of locally convex space, it follows that  $\mathbf{V}^{00}$  is a closed absolutely convex enveloping of  $\mathbf{V}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{V}^{00} = \mathbf{V}$ . Similarly,  $\mathfrak{I}^{00} = \mathfrak{I}$ . Hence, we can prove that  $\mathbf{V} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{I}$  is a one-to-one correspondence.

(2); Suppose that  $\mathbf{V}$  is a closed left invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$ . By (1),  $\mathbf{V}^0$  is a  $\sigma$ -weakly closed right ideal of  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ , and so  $\mathbf{V}^0 = (I - E_0)(\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda)$  for some projection  $E_0$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  (Lemma 5.2). Hence,

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^{00} = ((I - E_0)(\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda))^0 = (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)E_0.$$

(3); This is now almost obvious.

**DEFINITION 5.1.** The projection  $E_0$  in Theorem 5.1 is called the support projection of  $\mathbf{V}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**LEMMA 5.3.** ([15] Theorem 7.3) If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $\mathbf{A}$  admits the universal enveloping von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{A})$ . Furthermore, there is a unique isometry of the second dual space  $\mathbf{A}^{**}$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{A})$  which is a homeomorphic with respect to  $\sigma(\mathbf{A}^{**}, \mathbf{A}^*)$ -topology and the  $\sigma$ -weak topology on  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{A})$ .

By Lemma 5.3 we see that the second dual  $\mathbf{A}^{**}$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is a von Neumann algebra, and the dual space  $\mathbf{A}^*$  is the Banach space of all  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous linear functionals on  $\mathbf{A}^{**}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a weakly unbounded  $EC^*$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)$  be a universal enveloping von Neumann algebra of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  for each  $\lambda \in A$ . We set

$$\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A}) = \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A}_\lambda).$$

$\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  is called a universal enveloping  $EW^*$ -algebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . From Lemma 5.3, the following fact is easily proved.

**THEOREM 5.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a weakly unbounded  $EC^*$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . Then there is an isomorphism of the second dual  $\mathfrak{A}^{**}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  which is a homeomorphism with respect to  $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}^{**}, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ -topology and the locally  $\sigma$ -weak topology on  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

**COROLLARY 5.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a weakly unbounded  $EC^*$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . Then:

(1) There exists a one-to-one correspondence;  $\mathbf{V} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{I}$  between the closed left (resp. right) invariant subspaces  $\mathbf{V}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  and the  $\sigma$ -weakly closed right (resp. left) ideals of  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  determined by;

$$\mathbf{V}^0 = \mathfrak{I} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{I}^0 = \mathbf{V},$$

where  $\mathbf{V}^0$  and  $\mathfrak{I}^0$  mean the polars of  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathfrak{I}$  in  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  and in  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  respectively.

(2) Every closed left (resp. right) invariant subspace  $\mathbf{V}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  is of the form;

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathfrak{A}^* E_0 \quad (\text{resp. } \mathbf{V} = E_0 \mathfrak{A}^*)$$

for some projection  $E_0$  in  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

(3)  $\mathbf{V}$  is invariant if and only if  $E_0$  is central.

**PROOF.** This follows from Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2.

## §6. Normal and singular functionals

In this section let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a weakly unbounded  $EW^*$ -algebra associated with  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  and  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)$  a universal enveloping von Neumann algebra of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ . By Corollary 5.1 for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there exists a projection  $E_\lambda^{(0)}$  in  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A}_\lambda) \cap \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)'$  such that  $(\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_* = \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^* E_\lambda^{(0)}$ . We set

$$E_0 = (E_\lambda^{(0)}).$$

Then it is easily showed that  $E_0$  is a projection in  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  such that  $\overline{E_0} \in \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)'$  and  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_* = \mathfrak{A}^* E_0$ .

**DEFINITION 6.1.** The functionals in  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$  are called normal and  $\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*$  itself is called the predual of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . On the contrary, the functionals in  $\mathfrak{A}^*(I - E_0)$  are called singular and  $\mathfrak{A}^*(I - E_0)$  is denoted by  $(\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)^\perp$ .

**THEOREM 6.1.** (1) Every element  $\phi$  of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  is uniquely decomposed into the sum

$$\phi = \phi_n + \phi_s; \quad \phi_n \in \mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*, \quad \phi_s \in (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)^\perp.$$

$\phi_n$  and  $\phi_s$  are called the normal part and the singular part of  $\phi$  respectively.

(2) Suppose that  $V$  is a closed right (resp. left) invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ . Then,

$$V \cap (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*) = VE_0, \quad V \cap (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)^\perp = V(I - E_0).$$

**LEMMA 6.1.**

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_* = \sum_{\lambda \in A}^{\oplus} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*.$$

$$(2) \quad (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)^\perp = \sum_{\lambda \in A}^{\oplus} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*^\perp$$

**PROOF.** (1); This follows from Theorem 4.1

(2); This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*)^\perp &= \mathfrak{A}^*(I - E_0) = \sum_{\lambda \in A}^{\oplus} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda^*(I - E_\lambda^{(0)}) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in A}^{\oplus} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 6.2.** Suppose that  $\phi$  is a non-zero element of  $\mathfrak{A}_*^\perp$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\phi$  is singular;
- (2) There exists a finite subset  $A$  of  $A$  such that

$$\phi = \sum_{\lambda \in A} \phi_\lambda, \quad \phi_\lambda \in (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*^\perp \quad (\lambda \in A);$$

(3) For each non-zero projection  $E$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  there exists a non-zero projection  $F$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $E \geq F$  and  $\langle F, \phi \rangle = 0$ .

**PROOF.** (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2); This follows from Lemma 6.1.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3); Suppose that  $E := (E_\lambda)$  is a non-zero projection in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We set

$$A_1 = \{\lambda \in A; E_\lambda \neq 0\}.$$

If  $\lambda \in A_1$ , then  $\phi_\lambda \in (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*^\perp$  and  $E_\lambda \neq 0$ . By ([15] Theorem 8.5) there exists a non-zero projection  $G_\lambda$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  such that

$$E_\lambda \geq G_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \langle G_\lambda, \phi_\lambda \rangle = 0.$$

We set

$$F_\lambda = \begin{cases} G_\lambda, & \lambda \in \Delta_1 \\ E_\lambda, & \lambda \notin \Delta_1. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily showed that  $F := (F_\lambda)$  is a non-zero projection in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $E \geq F$  and

$$\langle F, \phi \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \langle F_\lambda, \phi_\lambda \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta_1} \langle G_\lambda, \phi_\lambda \rangle = 0.$$

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2); Since  $\phi \in \mathfrak{A}_+^*$ , there is a finite subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Lambda$  such that

$$\phi = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \phi_\lambda, \quad \phi_\lambda \in (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_+^*.$$

By the assumption (3) and ([15] Theorem 8.5),  $\phi_\lambda \in (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*^\perp$  for all  $\lambda \in \Delta$ . Hence,  $\phi \in \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*^\perp = (\mathfrak{A}^* \cap \mathfrak{A}_*^\perp)^\perp$ .

**THEOREM 6.3.** Suppose that  $\phi$  is a non-zero element of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\phi$  is normal;
- (2) There exists a finite subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Lambda$  such that

$$\phi = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \phi_\lambda, \quad \phi_\lambda \in (\mathfrak{A}_\lambda)_*^*;$$

- (3) For every orthogonal family  $\{E^{(i)}\}_{i \in I}$  of projections in  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,

$$\phi\left(\sum_{i \in I} E^{(i)}\right) = \sum_{i \in I} \phi(E^{(i)}).$$

**PROOF.** This follows from Theorem 4.1 and ([15] Corollary 8.8).

### References

- [1] R. M. BROOKS, *On locally  $m$ -convex  $*$ -algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **23** (1967), 5–23.
- [2] J. DIXMIER, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien*, Gausthier-Villars, Paris, 2<sup>e</sup> édition (1969).
- [3] P. G. DIXON, *Unbounded operator algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) **23** (1971), 53–69.
- [4] N. DUNFORD and J. SCHWARTZ, *Linear operators, Vol. II*, New York; Interscience Pub. (1963).

- [5] A. INOUE, *Locally  $C^*$ -algebras*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ., **25** (2) (1971), 197–235.
- [6] A. INOUE, *On a class of unbounded operator algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **65** (1976), 77–95.
- [7] A. INOUE, *On a class of unbounded operator algebras IV*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., (to appear).
- [8] A. INOUE, *Unbounded representations of symmetric  $*$ -algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **29** (1977), (to appear).
- [9] A. INOUE, *A commutant of an unbounded operator algebra*, (to appear).
- [10] J. P. JURZAK, *Simple facts about algebras of unbounded operators*, J. Functional Anal., **21** (1976), 469–482.
- [11] G. LASSNER, *Topological algebras of operators*, Reports on Math. Phys., **3** (1972), 279–293.
- [12] E. A. MICHAEL, *Locally multiplicatively convex topological algebras*, Mem. Amer. Soc., **11** (1952).
- [13] R. T. POWERS, *Self-adjoint algebras of unbounded operators*, Commun. Math. Phys., **21** (1971), 85–124.
- [14] A. P. ROBERTSON and W. ROBERTSON, *Topological vector spaces*, Cambridge, 1966.
- [15] M. TAKESAKI, *The theory of operator algebras*.
- [16] W. ZELAZKO, *Metric generalization of Banach algebras*, Dissertations Math. **47** (1965).